A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

## A Decomposition-based Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

## David Canino<sup>1</sup> and Leila De Floriani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Universitá degli Studi di Genova, Italy



9<sup>th</sup> Eurographics Italian Chapter Conference, November 24-25, 2011 Salerno (SA), Italy

November 24, 2011

## Arbitrary Shapes

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Manifold shapes

Each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to either an open ball (*internal point*), or to a closed half-ball (*boundary point*).



## Arbitrary shapes (non-manifold / non-regular)

- non-manifold singularities, i.e. points at which the manifold condition is not satisfied;
- parts of *different dimensions*.





## What we propose

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

#### Motivation

Complex topology of an arbitrary shape offers valuable information to:

- shape annotation and retrieval, identification of form features;
- computation of Z-homology (generators, Betti numbers, torsion coefficients).



A structural representation based on topological aspects (manifold-connected).

## Data Structures for Arbitrary Shapes

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Context

- A lot of data structures for manifold simplicial and cell complexes
- Very few for arbitrary simplicial and cell complexes

#### **Related Work**

- Incidence Graph [Edelsbrunner 1987]: a dimension-indepedent data structure for arbitrary cell complexes, and restrictions to simplicial complexes, Incidence Simplicial [De Floriani, Hui, Panozzo, Canino, 2010]
- Representations for arbitrary 2D shapes in 3D: from Radial Edge [Weiler, 1985] to Partial Entity [Lee and Lee, 2003];
- Dimension-specific data structures for 2D and 3D simplicial shapes;
- Representations for cell 2-complexes (decomposition into manifold parts).

## Our Contribution [Canino, De Floriani, Weiss 2011, SMI Conf. 2011]

Generalized Indexed Data Structure with Adjacencies (IA\* data structure)

# Representation of IA\* [Canino et. al. 2011]

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Entities

- Vertices;
- *Top simplices* (not on the boundary of any simplex).

### **Encoded Relations**

- *R*<sup>\*</sup><sub>k,0</sub> vertices of top *k*-simplices;
- *R*<sup>\*</sup><sub>0,k</sub> one top k-simplex for each (k - 1)-connected component of simplices incident at a vertex;
- \$\mathcal{R}^\*\_{k,k}\$ adjacency relation for top k-simplices, \$k > 1\$;
- $\mathcal{R}_{k-1,k}^*$  partial co-boundary relation for non-manifold (k-1)-simplices incident to top *k*-simplices.

### Properties

- Adiacency-based Representation;
- Dimension-independent;
- Arbitrary shapes;
- Agnostic about embedding in underlying space;
- Scalable with respect to manifold case (reduces to IA);
- Efficient retrieval of topological relations;
- Supports *editing* operations;
- Most compact encoding, with respect to the start of the art.
- Plan to release as part of C++ open source meshing library Mangrove TDS.

## IA\* data structure - an Example

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{0,1}^{*}(v) &= \{w\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{0,2}^{*}(v) &= \{f_1, f_5\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{0,3}^{*}(v) &= \{t_1\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_5) &= \{f_6\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_6) &= \{f_5\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{3,3}(t_1) &= \{t_2\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_1) &= \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_2) &= \mathcal{R}_{1,2}^{*}(e) \\ \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_3) &= \mathcal{R}_{2,2}^{*}(f_4) &= \mathcal{R}_{1,2}^{*}(e) \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{R}^*_{1,2}(e) = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ 

## Key observation

- Encode collection of top k-simplices incident to a non-manifold (k - 1)-simplex as a single unit and once.
- Efficient retrieval of non-manifold *singularities*.

## Manifold-Connected (MC) Components

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Manifold k-Path

Sequence of *k*-simplices, where each pair of simplices is *adjacent* through a *manifold* (k - 1)-simplex.



Manifold-Connected (MC) k-simplices

Connected through a manifold *k*-path.

Manifold-Connected (MC) Complex

All pairs of MC k-simplices.



## Key property

Unique if and only if we consider top simplices.

# **Retrieving MC-components**

#### А

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

#### Algorithm 1 RETRIEVE\_MC\_COMPONENTS(Σ)

Input: an instance of the IA<sup>\*</sup> data structure representing  $\Sigma$ Output: the set of non-manifold singularities in  $\Sigma$  and their related MC-components

1: let  $L := \emptyset$ 

```
2: for all k = 1, \dots, dim(\Sigma) do
        for all top k-simplex \sigma in \Sigma do
 3:
 4:
           if \sigma is not visited then
 5:
              create a new MC-component C
 6:
              let q an empty queue
 7:
              enqueue \sigma in a
 8:
              while q is not empty do
                 dequeue \sigma' from q
 9:
10:
                 if \sigma' is not visited then
11:
                    mark \sigma' as visited
12:
                    add \sigma' in the new MC-component C
13:
                    for all \tau in b(\sigma') do
14:
                       if \tau is not manifold in \Sigma then
15
                          L[\tau] := L[\tau] \cup \{\mathcal{C}\}
                       else if dim(\tau) = k - 1 then
16:
                          enqueue \mathcal{R}_{k}^{*}(\sigma') along \tau in q
17.
                       end if
18:
10.
                    end for
20:
                 end if
21:
              end while
22.
           end if
        end for
23:
24 end for
25: return L
```

### Basic idea

Recognize MC-components for each sub-complex in  $\Sigma$  formed by top *k*-simplices.

### Basic step

Proceed by adiacency on manifold

(k-1)-faces of a top k-simplex  $\sigma$ .

### At the end

- Each top simplex associated to ONE MC-component;
- Singularities associated to several MC-components.

### Time complexity

Linear in the number of simplices in  $\Sigma$ .

## Manifold-Connected (MC) Decomposition

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### MC-Decomposition

- Collection of *MC-components* in the input arbitrary shape  $\Psi$ ;
- Discrete counterpart of the Whitney stratification (1965);

### **MC**-components

- equivalence classes of top simplices in Ψ vs MC relation;
- share non-manifold singularities;
- a singularity may be shared by *more than one* MC-component.



#### Consequence

Suitable to be represented through a two-level graph-based data structure.

## Representing the MC-Decomposition

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Two-level Data Structure

- the *lower level* describes an arbitrary shape Ψ through an unique IA\* data structure (*topological model*);
- the upper level describes the connectivity of MC-components in Ψ through a graph-based data structure (structural model).

## MC-graph $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A})$

- each *node* in  $\mathcal{N} \equiv$  one MC-component (direct references to simplices in  $\Psi$ );
- each arc in A ≡ intersection (non-manifold singularities) between two or more MC-components;
- similar to a *spatial index* overimposed on  $\Psi$ .

### Variants of the MC-graph (encodings of arcs)

- Pair-wise MC-graph (intersection of two MC-components);
- Extended MC-graph (intersection of more than two MC-components).

## Pair-wise MC-graph $\mathcal{G}_P = (\mathcal{N}_P, \mathcal{A}_P)$

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Key Property

 $\mbox{Arc}\equiv\mbox{intersection}$  of only two MC-components

## Encoding a node *c*

- the dimension *k* of top simplices in *c*;
- $s_c^p$  references to top k-simplices in c;
- $a_c^p$  references to arcs incident in *c*.

## Encoding an arc $a = (c_1, c_2)$

- two references to c<sub>1</sub> and c<sub>2</sub>;
- $I_a^p$  references to singularities in  $c_1 \cap c_2$ .

# *CCViewer*, L. De Floriani, D. Panozzo, A. Hui, GbPR 2009

## Storage cost

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}} \left(1 + s^{\mathcal{P}}_{c} + a^{\mathcal{P}}_{c}
ight) + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}} \left(4 + l^{\mathcal{P}}_{a}
ight)$$





## Extended MC-graph $\mathcal{G}_E = (\mathcal{N}_E, \mathcal{A}_E)$

A

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Key Property

One *hyper-arc* for each singularity  $\sigma$  common to any MC-components



### Encoding a node c

- the dimension *k* of top simplices in *c*;
- s<sup>e</sup><sub>c</sub> references to top k-simplices in c;
- $a_c^e$  references to arcs incident in c.



### Encoding an arc connecting any MC-components

- a reference to the singularity  $\sigma$  related to *a*;
- $I_{\sigma}$  references to MC-components sharing  $\sigma$ .

*CCViewer*, L. De Floriani, D. Panozzo, A. Hui, GbPR 2009

## Storage cost

 $\sum_{c \in \mathcal{N}_{F}} \left(1 + s_{c}^{e} + a_{c}^{e}\right) + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_{F}} \left(1 + l_{\sigma}\right)$ 

## Comparisons I

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Key observation

These graph-based data structures *adapt themselves* to the shape complexity:

- simplices in the *intersection* between two MC-components;
- number of MC-components incident at a singularity.

#### In the most cases...

The Extended MC-graph is 30% smaller than the Pair-wise MC-graph, but...



# Comparisons II

A

Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Storage Cost (vs IG)

- Extended MC-graph +  $IA^* \equiv 78\%$  of IG in 2D;
- Pairwise MC-graph +  $IA^* \equiv 86\%$  of IG in 2D;
- both of them + IA\*  $\equiv$  35% of IG in 3D



## Storage Cost (vs IA\*)

- both of them are about 40% of the IA\* in 2D;
- both of them are about 38% of the IA\* in 3D.
- both of them expose singularities and connectivity of MC-components.



## In Boltcheva et al. 2011 (GD/SPM 2011)

- the size of intersection between MC-components does not exceed 5% of the input shape;
- the dimension of a MC-component is on average 40% of the input shape.

## Iterative Computing of $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology [Boltcheva et al. 2011]

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

### Objective

Computation of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology for an arbitrary shape:

- Constructive Homology Theory [Sergeraert, 2006];
- MC-decomposition.

## Basic idea

Compute the homology of a shape by combining:

- homology of its sub-complexes;
- homology of the intersection of sub-complexes.

### Results

Better than the SNF algorithm.



## **Conclusions and Future Work**

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

We have presented the *MC-Decomposition*, an effective structural model for several applications:

- semantic understanding, annotation, and recognition;
- computation of Z-homology.



## Acknowledgments

A Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

We thank:

- the Italian Ministry of Education and Research (the PRIN 2009 program);
- the National Science Foundation (contract IIS-1116747);
- Dott. Daniele Panozzo (DISI, Genova) for CCViewer and useful suggestions.

These slides wiil be available on http://www.disi.unige.it/person/CaninoD.

Thanks for your attention!

## References

#### Decompositionbased Approach to Modeling and Understanding Arbitrary Shapes

D. Boltcheva, D. Canino, S. Merino, J.-C. Leon, L. De Floriani, F. Hetroy *An iterative algorithm for homology computation on simplicial shapes*, Computer-Aided Design - Proc. of GD/SPM 2011, vol. 43, pp.1457-1467

D. Canino, L. De Floriani, K. Weiss, *IA*\*: an adjacency-based representation for non-manifold simplicial shapes in arbitrary dimensions, Computer & Graphics - Proc. of SMI Conf. 2011, vol. 35, issue 3, pp. 747-753

L. De Floriani L., A. Hui, D. Panozzo, D. Canino, *A dimension-independent data structure for simplicial complexes*. In Proc. of International Meshing Roundtable 2010, pp. 403-420

Edelsbrunner H., Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry. Springer, 1987